

---

---

No. **3273**.....

IN EQUITY

**United States**  
**Circuit Court of Appeals**  
**For the Ninth Circuit**

---

MATHILDE CARDONER,

Appellant,

vs.

EUGENE R. DAY, et ali,

Appellees.

---

BRIEF OF APPELLEES

HARRY L. DAY and JEROME J. DAY

---

*Upon Appeal From the District Court of the United States,  
District of Idaho, Northern Division.*

---

JAMES E. BABB,

Lewiston, Idaho,

Attorney for Harry L. Day.

ISHAM N. SMITH,

Wallace, Idaho,

Attorney for Jerome J. Day.

---

---

**FILED**

APR 28 1919

F. D. MONCKTON,  
CLERK



# TOPICAL INDEX

---

## 1.

General Prefatory Statement, pp. 5-9.

## 2.

Allen's Agency, pp. 9 to 19.

(a) Plaintiff's allegations to same, pp. 9 to 12.

(b) Evidence as to same, pp. 13 to 19.

## 3.

Confidential Relations (generally and as administrator, co-owner and managing statutory partner), pp. 19-44.

## 4.

Value of Mine. pp. 44 to 56.

(a) Annual production and mineral prices, p. 45.

(b) Ore bodies. pp. 46 to 52.

(c) Smelter and refinery, pp. 52-56.

## 5.

Decree distribution entered; certified copy recorded; actual possession property delivered plaintiff; new agent appointed by her and notice of appointment given by her to E. R. Day and others before negotiations; pp. 54-56; also 143 to 147.

## 6.

Innocent Purchasers, pp. 56 to 57.

11.

7.

Extracts from Courts opinion as to :

- (a) Plaintiff's business ability, pp. 57 to 58;
- (b) Allen's agency, pp. 58 to 59;
- (c) E. R. Day as administrator, pp. 59 to 61;
- (d) E. R. Day as manager and co-owner, pp. 61 to 64;
- (e) Plaintiff's ability to employ and employment of attorneys and agents, pp. 64 to 65;
- (f) Plaintiff's Inconsistencies, p. 65.
- (g) Alleged misrepresentations to plaintiff, pp. 65-66;
- (h) Difficulty fixing value, pp. 66-67;
- (i) Reasonable value, pp. 67 to 69.

8.

Appellant's Assignments, pp. 69 to 72.

Unquestioned Findings of Fact, p. 72.

Brief of Points and Authorities, pp. 72 to 78.

---

I.

Evidence of options where same accepted and money paid and forfeited, competent and different from unaccepted offers, only, pp. 78 to 80.

2.

Sundry Errors of Fact, pp. 80 to 82.

3.

Unchallenged Findings, Conclusive, p. 88.

III.

4.

Findings on Conflicting Evidence not disturbed in absence of clear mistake, p. 83.

Conflicts and Court's comments, set forth, pp. 83 to 86.

5.

Plaintiff did not rely upon E. R. Day but sought and secured information independently and cannot therefore complain, pp. 86 to 114.

Fiduciary not obliged to search out for plaintiff, information he did not have, pp. 114.

6.

Where parties both know transaction is essentially speculative neither can have any relief because disappointed, unless fraud shown. p. 115-116;

- (a) Mining property, especially in state of development of one in question, belongs especially to speculative class of properties. pp. 117-118;
- (b) Evidence showing Mrs. Cardoner did not rely on Mr. Day and that she was selling out all properties in which she had any interest with him or his relatives. pp. 86 et seq. and 122 to 125;

Evidence as to value of mine. (125 to 142) :

- (a) Greenough's errors as to mine, pp. 127-128;
- (b) Compare Burbidge, pp. 128 to 130;
- (c) Greenough's excess tonnage, pp. 131-132;
- (d) Interest on mining investments, pp. 132-133;
- (e) Problems, pp. 133 to 142;

IV.

(f) Burbidge, a fair witness, pp. 137-142;

(g) Depth of ore bodies, based on plaintiff's allegations, pp. 140 to 142.

7.

Eugene R. Day, purchaser and administrator; effect of same, pp. 143 to 147.

8.

Mrs. Cardoner's knowledge, pp. 147 to 150.

9.

Innocent purchasers, pp. 150 to 153.

10.

Errors in appellant's brief, pp. 153 to 156.

---

TABLE OF CASES CITED.

14 A. & E. Ency. Law, 2nd Ed., p. 69 (concealment to be intentional.) Cited, p. 74-114.

Biwabek M'g Co. v. U. S. 242 Fed. 9 (16) (Uncertainty; Value of Mine.) Cited, p. 77-117.

Bissell v. Fox 4 Fed. 694, Aff'd. 114 U. S. 252; 29 L. 126. (Nature of Mining Partnership). Cited, pp. 152-153.

Vol. 1, Black, Rescission & Cancellation, p. 114, Sec. 48 (Purchase by Adm. from heir after distribution.) Cited, p. 76.

Blank v. Conner (Cal.) 141 Pac. 217 (220 last <sup>par</sup> year) (Reliance). Cited, pp. 74-114.

Briscoe v. Rudolph, 221 U. S. 547; 55 L. 848 (850) (Unchallenged Finding). Cited, pp. 72-83.

V.

- Brooks v. Martin, 2 Wall. 73 (Price to approximate value).  
Cited, pp. 77-117.
- Buckley v. Superior Court (Cal.) 36 Pac. 360. (Prob. Ct. loses jurisdiction on distribution). Cited, pp. 75-146.
- Burke v. McGuire, 98 Pac. 21 (25) (Right hand col, at bottom) and on rehearing, p. 26. (Reliance). Cited, p. 75-115.
- Butte & Sup. M'g Co. v. Clarke Mont. R. Co., (Aff'g. 9th C. C. A.) 248 U. S. . . . ; 39 Sup. Ct. 231. (When facts reviewed). Cited, p. 105.
- Clausen v. Tjernagel, 91 Iowa, 285; 59 N. W. 277. (Option )  
Cited, p. 74.
- Cleveland Cliffs Iron Co. v. East Ithaca M'g. Co., (8th C. C. A.) 146 Fed. 232 (Syllabus, point 4) (p. 236 et. seq ) quoting from U. S. v. Barlow, 137 U. S. 271 (281); 10 Sup. Ct. 77; 33 L. 346, and collating authorities. (Relief against speculation). Cited, pp. 76-116.
- Cliquot's Champagne, 3 Wall. 143. (Option). Cited, p. 73
- Cole v. Stokes, 113 N. C., 270 (Purchase by Adm. from heir).  
Cited, p. 153.
- Colton v. Stanford, (Cal.) 23 Pac. 16, (pp. 21-22) (Reliance) (Fiduciary not required to make search for information).  
Cited, pp. 74-114.
- Colton v. Stanford, 23 Pac. 16 (24-25) (Relief against speculation). Cited, pp. 76-115.
- Colton v. Stanford, 23 Pac. 16 (Syllabus, point 1) (Concealment to be intentional). Cited, p. 74-114.
- 3 Corpus Juris, p. 1333, Sec. 1463 (Unchallenged Findings).

Cited, pp. 72-83.

3 Corpus Juris, p. 1330, text and note 44, (cases). (Unchallenged Finding). Cited, pp. 72-83.

Curran v. Smith, 149 Fed. 945 (3d. C. C. A.) Aff'g. 138 Fed. 150 (156-158). (Reliance). Cited, pp. 74-114.

15 Cyc. 304 (Option). Cited, p. 73.

16 Cyc. 1135 (1136, text and note 64) (Options). Cited p. 73.

Dooley v. Pa. R'y Co., 250 Fed. 142 (Revolving funds). Cited, p. 112.

Doyle, Int. Rev. Col. v. Mitchell Bros., 235 Fed. 686 (691) (Uncertainty. Value of mine.) Cited, pp. 77-117.

Faust v. Hosford, 119 Iowa, 97; 93 N. W. 58. (Option). Cited, p. 73.

Fenerstein v. U. S. ("Fenerstein's Champagne"). 3 Wall. 145; 18 L. 121. (Option). Cited, p. 73.

First Nat. Bank v. Bissell, 4 Fed. 701. (Mining Partnership. Nature of). Cited, p. 153.

Foster v. Hart Cons. M'g. Co., 122 Pac. 48 (50) (Uncertainty. Value of Mine.) Cited, pp. 77-117.

French v. Phelps, (Cal.) 128 Pac. 772. (Purchase by Adm'r from heir after dist'n). Cited, p. 76.

Gatling v. Newell, 9 Ind. 572 (Option). Cited, p. 73.

G. H. & S. A. R. R. Co., v. Davis, White & Williams' Reprs. (Texas C't Ap. Vol. 1 Sec. 147. Bot. p. 58) (Option). Cited, p. 73.

Geoghegan v. R. R. Co., 266 Ill., 482; 107 N. E. 786. (Option). Cited, p. 73.



- Germ. Am. St. Bank v. Spk-Col. R. R. & N. Co., 49 Wash. 359; 95 Pac. 261 (Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Gertgeno v. O'Connor, 191 U. S. 237, 246. (Speculator). Cited, p. 116.
- Golson v. Dunlap, 14 Pac. 476. (Inadequacy of consideration sale by devisee to executor). Cited p. 76.
- Gordon v. Butler, 105 U. S. 553; 26 L. 1166; (Speculator). Cited, pp. 77-117.
- Gordon v. Butler, 105 U. S. 553; 26 L. 1166. (Uncertainty. Value of mine). Cited, pp. 77-117.
- Haight v. Pearson, (Utah). 39 Pac. 576. (Purchase by Adm'r from heir after dist'n). Cited, p. 76.
- Hanley v. F. M. & S. Co., 235 Fed. 769 (771-773-774-777). (Uncertainty. Value of mine.) Cited, pp. 78-118.
- Harrison v. Glover, 72 N. Y., 451. (Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Hotchkiss v. Germania Fire Ins. Co., 5 Hun. 90. (Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Idaho Tax Comm'n. 1913-1914, p. 46. (Uncertainty. Value of mine.) Cited, p. 118.
- Joy v. Ins. Co. 83 Iowa 12; 48 N. W. 1049. (Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Kinne v. Webb, 54 Fed. 39. (8th C. C. A.) (Reliance.) Cited, pp. 74-114.
- Kinne v. Webb, 54 Fed. 34. (8th C. C. A.) (Point 2, p. 39) (Reliance). Cited, pp. 74-114.
- Little v. Hackley, 126 Fed. 309 (6th C. C. A.) (Reliance. Adm'r and Gen. Guardian). Cited, pp. 74-114.
- McLean v. Clark, 47 Ga. 24. (Option). Cited, p. 73.

VIII.

- Mills v. Mills, 57 Fed. 873 (878-879, per Gilbert, Circuit Judge). (Purchase by Adm'r from heir). Cited, pp. 76-153.
- Moore v. Devoe, 22 Hun 208. (Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Moore v. Lauff, (Cal.) 158 Pac. 557 (559). (Probate Court losses jurisdiction on distribution). Cited, pp. 75-146.
- Morfew v. San Francisco & S. R. R. Co., 44 Pac. 810 (812-813). (Probate Court loses jurisdiction on distribution). Cited, pp. 75-146.
- Moss v. Dowman, 176 U. S. 413 (417). (Speculator). Cited, p. 116.
- North Am. Tel. Co., v. N. P. R'y Co., 254 Fed. 147. (Option). (Offers). Cited, pp. 74-80.
- Palmer v. Shields, 128 Pac. 1051. (Reliance). Cited, pp. 74-114.
- Park Dist. v. Hedeberg, 267 Ill., 588; 108 N.E. 664. (Option) Cited, p. 73.
- Patrick Bowman, 149 U. S. 44. (Price to approximate value). (Events after sale). Cited, pp. 77-117.
- Vol. 1. Perry on Trusts, 6th Ed. Sec. 205. (Purchase by Adm'r from heir). Cited, p. 76.
- Pittsburg L. & L. Co., v. Northern C. L. Ins. Co., 140 Fed. 888 (893-bottom) (cases collated). (Reliance.) Cited, pp. 74-114.
- Pomeroy's Equity, 4th Ed., Vol. 2, Sec. 855 (Relief against speculation). Cited, pp. 76-116.
- Pomeroy's Equity, 4th Ed., Vol. 2, Sec. 691 and 775 Note No. 5. (Bona Fide Purchaser). Cited, p. 151.

## IX.

- Rawson v. Prior, 57 Vt. 612. (Option). Cited, p. 73.
- R. C. Idaho Sec. 5543. (Purchase by Adm'r from heir.)  
Cited, p. 76.
- Reding & P. R. Co., v. Balthazer, 13 Atl. 294. (297). (Uncertainty. Value of mine). Cited, pp. 77-117.
- Richardson v. Heney, (Ariz.) 157 Pac. 980. (Price to approximate value). Cited, pp. 77-117.
- Richardson v. Heney, 157 Pac. 980 (Events after sale. Fiduciary not required to give option on speculative matters.)<sup>op</sup>  
Cited, p. 75.
- Rottleberger v. Henley, 155 Iowa 638; 136 N. W. 776.  
(Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Rule 11, This Court. (Unchallenged Findings.)  
Cited, pp. 72-83.
- Sanitary Dist. v Baumach, 270 Ill., 128; 110 N. E. 331.  
(Option). Cited, p. 73.
- Sisson v. Cleveland & T. R. Co., 14 Mich. 489. *Cleveland*  
Cited, p. 79.
- Southern Development Co. v. Silva, 125 U. S. 247; 31 L. 678; 8 Sup. Ct. 883. (Uncertainty. Value of mine).  
Cited, pp. 77-117.
- State v. Jones, 131 Mo.; 33 S. W. 23. (Purchase by Adm'r from heir.) Cited, p. 76.
- Taber v. Piedmont Heights Bld'g Co., 143 Pac. 319-320. (Relief against speculation). Cited, pp. 76-116.
- Thurber v. Thompson, 21 Hun 472. (Option).  
Cited, pp. 73-79.
- U. S. v. Detroit T. & L'br Co., 200 U. S. 320-321. (Bona

Fide Purchaser). Cited, p. 151.

Virginia v. West Va., 238 U. S. 202; 35 S. C., 795 (800)  
(Options). Cited, p. 73.

Fred Von Baumbach, Collector of Int. Rev. v. Sargent Land  
Co., 242 U. S. 503; 37 Sup. Ct 201 (208) (Uncertainty.  
Value of Mine). Cited, pp. 77-118.

Wallace Wood, Jr., Trustee v. Lumber Co., 226 U. S., 384;  
33 Sup. Ct 125. (Syllabus, Par. 1.) (Unchallenged  
Findings). Cited, pp. 72-83.

W. W. P. Co., v. Kootenai Co., 210 Fed. 867. (Value, Un-  
certain). Cited, p. 116.

Woerner's American Law of Administration, 2d Ed., Vol. 2,  
Sec. 487, pp. 1085-1086. (Purchase by Adm'r from  
heir.) Cited, p. 76.

Wheeler v. Bolton, 54 Cal. 302. (Probate Court loses juris-  
diction on distribution.) Cited, pp. 75-146.



